

EBTA Structure Task Group – Notes from Discussion

Present: Peter, Ferdinand, Svea, Helene

Date and Time: Sunday 14 Oct 2012 16h00 to 17h00 CET

Report updating chain: Helene to Svea > Ferdinand > Peter

Next meeting: Sun 11 Nov 2012 from 16h00 to 17h00 CET

Main focus / outcomes :

- Make decisions about who does what
- Establish what have been done already ; what do we already have ?
- Future EBTA obligation or name

Agenda Item / Focus	Discussion	Decisions and Actions
Reporting and Mandate for this task group	<p>Svea : To whom are we required to report on work in progress ?</p> <p>Peter : Task group is mandated by EBTA Board. The assignment is to come up with 1-2 suggestions for possible EBTA structures together with the legal / statutory implications.</p> <p>Helene : Currently EBTA is registered in France – statues. Application to access statues needs to be made in Paris.</p> <p>Peter : In Torun the EBTA board decided that we don't need to inform the whole board all the time, but we have to be transparent on the website.</p>	<p>PETER or SVEA or ?</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Notes to be posted to EBTA website once they have been composed by all and reached Peter. Or summary from these notes. • Send a note to Caroline – webmaster of EBTA website and request to post to EBTA structure task group. • Short notes to lists alerting that an EBTA Structure Tack Group update is available on EBTA website – SF list, SOL list, UKASFP list – any others ? <p style="background-color: yellow;">We did not decide upon this but I am willing to do this when Peter sends us the final version of these notes – Peter let me know if you want me to do or anyone else who wishes to attend to this – Svea</p>
Legal implications of structure change	<p>Helene : A founder member from EBTA Board will need to make application in Paris for a copy of the original statutes. If this cannot be achieved, then an extraordinary Board meeting can be called and approve a recommendation to change the statures. These will then need to be lodged in Paris.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Helene will continue to attempt to obtain a copy of the original statutes from Marie-Christine. • Svea recommended that if this has not succeeded by end Nov 2012, task group considers the EBTA Board extraordinary meeting route. To be discussed during Dec 2012 telecall.
What do we already have / know about	<p>Peter : There is some difficulty when we talk about « SF community » - who does this refer to ? Who does this include ?</p>	<p>ALL ; ONGOING</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • EBTA website to be used as the place to invite

Agenda Item / Focus	Discussion	Decisions and Actions
<p>best hopes about EBTA structure ?</p>	<p>How many people ? Given responses on lists and to questionnaire at Torun EBTA 2012 Conference – many seem unconcerned about the structure issue.</p> <p>Svea » Perhaps what is more important is that we (task group) can account for that we made it possible for people to voice their best hopes.</p> <p>Ferdinand : Only a few people have been active on the internet lists on this topic. Caroline (EBTA webmaster) has been trying to direct people to hold these discussions on the EBTA website.</p> <p>Helene : Tomasz held a National Associations meeting during the Torun conference (Oct 2012). There is much discussion in many countries about accreditation.</p> <p>Svea – I would like to understand the relationship between these National Associations and EBTA better – might they become the accrediting bodies for each country and EBTA acts as a resource to them eg in terms of quality guidelines about training or Clues about what SF is ?</p> <p>Ferdinand : Correct, that was always the question about accreditation. We as the EBTA board dismissed this idea and we proposed instead a platform for improvement and development. An open source structure.</p> <p>Peter – National Associations are in the flower drawing put forward in Torun – see on EBTA website.</p> <p>Ferdinand : I had the impression that associations and organisations from the former Eastern European countries have more expectations of EBTA than other countries. Every country has its own functioning on accreditation. The Eastern European countries are more interested in getting support that SFBT is a serious therapeutic approach.</p> <p>Svea : Would their desire be served by EBTA being a research platform – continue the work EBTA, Alasdair Macdonald, Mark Beyebach team etc have already been doing – research grants ; maintaining a list of published research or links to where</p>	<p>and record communications about the work of the EBTA structure task group</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Internet SF lists to be used only to alert people that there is material and opportunity on EBTA website <p>Ideas to take forward to design of structure proposals :</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • EBTA position on accreditation • EBTA relationship with National Associations – see proposal on the flower drawing document • EBTA as an open source structure / resource rather than a regulating structure • EBTA providing resources and guidelines about SF to structures that regulate, train etc • EBTA as a place where documents in a variety of languages can be located – see below • EBTA as a reputable resource for research repository, or a place with links to where research relevant to credibility, status and stature of SF can be found. • Does EBTA want to be an institution with something it delivers and to what extent does it act as a connector between other structures ? <p>Svea</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • contact researchers and check whether EBTA can link to their documents on research published and in progress. Include Mark Beyebach, Alasdair Macdonald, Janet Bavelas, Sara Smock, Harry Korman (microanalysis), Cynthia Franklin, Thorana Nelson, Andrew Turnell, John Wheeler, Gale Miller, Adriana Uken and Mo Yee, etc etc • Also put the invite out via internet lists and EBTA website for those with research activity and repositories to get in touch please by Dec 2012.

Agenda Item / Focus	Discussion	Decisions and Actions
	<p>research can be found.</p> <p>Ferdinand – Yes</p> <p>Svea – so to take forward – best hopes will be served if EBTA has a sufficiently strong research base, and people from National Associations can download evidence-based and practice-based evidence documents, for people to use as they lobby for the recognition / accreditation of SFBT.</p> <p>Svea : Establish who at the moments routinely collects research.</p> <p>Peter : Mark Beyebach should do that and has said he would. Maybe we would need some more people involved.</p> <p>Sarah ... does this in keeping her research alive. Is it possible to ask Sarah that from EBTA website people could have access to this updating of research</p> <p>Svea : I could do this as a task to look for links about research</p>	<p>ALL – please send me more people you think I should be contacting research</p> <p>Ferdinand : I would add Wally GINGRICH (Milwaukee) from the former BFTC Team and maybe AOKI from Japan</p>
<p>Making purposeful contact to discuss EBTA structure and work of this task group</p>	<p>Peter : Is it OK for us to go ahead and do this individually or shall we co-ordinate ?</p> <p>Ferdinand : Useful if we all point out what has already been done, the things we agree about and we look for what people's responses are to this. That will provide some common basis.</p> <p>Peter : What about contacting other organisations re their structures ?</p> <p>Svea – yes, ask about their structure and in particular how their structure enables them to deliver on their purpose ie what is the association / organisation designed to provide / do ?</p> <p>Svea – most complicated global association I am familiar with that acts as a resource and also accredits is ICF.</p>	<p>Peter : Contact some people in Finland.</p> <p>Ferdinand : Contact some people in Austria before conference in Vienna.</p> <p>Svea – track down and contact SF research-connected people – see above</p> <p>Helene – EMDR</p> <p>Peter – NLP - Sweden and Finland</p> <p>Peter – talk to Tomasz about which National Associations may be good to talk to re structure and also what Tomasz already can tell us about structures and their benefits</p> <p>? – EFTA</p> <p>Ferdinand – Systemic Society ; Ericksonian Society – how do they deal with accreditation ?</p> <p>Svea – UKASFP ; SOL, SFCT ; ICF</p>

Agenda Item / Focus	Discussion	Decisions and Actions
		<p>ALL – gather information for each structure about</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • What their purpose is – what do they offer / do ? • whether they are fee-gathering or not, • how structured • what the benefits of that structure are ? • <p>ALL : Let people know what we have done and are doing, what we agree on. Gather people’s responses to this, report on during our monthly discussion.</p>
Language - Translation	<p>Helene – in France the availability of French resources / texts is desirable. Perhaps volunteers from EBTA make translations into other languages ?</p> <p>Svea – EBTA act as a place where documents are provided and National Associations do the translating. Return a copy to the EBTA website so EBTA website also has copies in a variety of languages.</p>	
Composition of Structure Task Group	<p>Peter – how do we continue ? Do we have sufficient people or do we need more people ?</p> <p>Svea : I’d like to continue like this in a small agile group and invite people when needed for their special expertise etc – can even join a partic</p> <p>Helene : Ok with what Svea proposes and accept other board members when they want to join.</p> <p>F : ok with Svea’s proposal. Noted that Caroline resigned from this task group.</p> <p>P : I agree, this doesn’t stop us of getting input from other people</p>	<p>ALL – ONGOING</p> <p>Feel free to let members of this structure task group know about proposals to invite specific people for their contribution / expertise eg to a scheduled telephone discussion</p>

Next meeting: Sun 11 Nov 2012 from 16h00 to 17h00 CET